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## Executive summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What is TAXI?</th>
<th>Trackable Asset Cross-Platform Identification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ An industry initiative to accelerate video asset identification and trackability standards across the M&amp;E supply chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Designed to spark widespread adoption of existing open-standard asset registries (EIDR and Ad-ID) for professional video content and advertising.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why should it matter to you?</th>
<th>More than $2 billion annually in M&amp;E sector video content and advertising revenue upside</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ TAXI enables increased speed, transparency and accountability in media measurement, resulting in efficient markets and higher overall spend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ TAXI makes it simpler to deploy desirable “applications” that will improve many aspects of the M&amp;E sector content and advertising experience, and reduce friction within and between M&amp;E supply chain companies.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why should it matter to you?</th>
<th>More than $500 million annually in M&amp;E sector cost savings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ TAXI helps the industry reduce costs associated with numerous time-consuming, low-value manual activities around asset-related data collection, aggregation and mapping. TAXI also helps reduce errors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ TAXI enables media workflow automation by simplifying and standardizing communications within and between M&amp;E entities.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Why should it matter to you?</th>
<th>Less than $500 million in one-time adoption costs across the M&amp;E sector</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How do we know this will work?</th>
<th>28 companies across the M&amp;E content and advertising supply chain demonstrated how to make TAXI both operationally and economically feasible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Envisioned M&amp;E supply chain benefits enabled or accelerated by TAXI during a six-month feasibility study.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Evaluated how TAXI can be implemented into existing workflows through five separate M&amp;E supply chain proofs of concept.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What do you need to do?</th>
<th>Register, operationalize and measure to become “TAXI Compliant” by December 2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Begin registering all newly-created video assets with the EIDR and Ad-ID registries.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Operationalize ID flow-through – from video post-production through internal and external video asset distribution points for all new programming and advertising video assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>▶ Integrate TAXI in how you measure and report video asset viewing activity within all your metering, reporting and analytics platforms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive summary
Why TAXI matters to the M&E supply chain

TAXI has the potential to enable or accelerate an estimated

**US$2.5 billion or more in annual economic benefit**

within the content and advertising supply chain once adopted across the M&E sector.

Video ad spend motivated by better measurement:
- 2% to 3% increase in ad volume (> US$1.5 billion)
- 1% to 2% price premium (> US$500 million)

Operational cost savings enabled through workflow automation:
- More than US$500 million across the M&E supply chain
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Video advertising spend
Spend on innovation
Investments in adopting TAXI
**Executive summary**

Top-line economic benefits and investment requirements

TAXI has the potential to enable or accelerate an estimated **US$2.5 billion or more in annual economic benefit** within the content and advertising supply chain once adopted across the M&E sector.

- These year-over-year benefits will increase over time as the industry deploys any number of innovative “applications” that are simpler to implement and operate because of TAXI.

| Increased granularity and comparability in media measurement | Increased speed, transparency and accountability in video content and advertising measurement  | > US$2b to > US$3.5b |
| Reduction in “low value” labor-intensive processes | Media workflow automation within and between M&E entities  | > US$500m to > US$1.5b |

**Estimated one-time adoption costs** across the M&E supply chain over roughly 24 months  

**US$500m**  

**Direct TAXI annual economic benefit** that will grow with applications “enabled” by TAXI  

**> US$2b**  

**> US$2.5b**
Executive summary
What needs to be done: Become “TAXI Compliant” by December 2014

1. Register video assets with EIDR and Ad-ID registries
   - Begin registering all new content and advertising assets. EIDR and Ad-ID have made registration simple and cost-efficient.
   - Coordinate with vendors to embed registry communications within asset transcoding, management and storage systems.

2. Operationalize asset and ID flow-through
   - Support your organization in driving ratification of ID-to-asset binding technology standards.
   - Operationalize digital and on-demand video asset ID flow-through. File-based workflows will be easier to adapt.
   - Explore technology-enabled methods to embed IDs within streaming broadcast video assets from post-production through distribution, consumption and measurement.

3. Embed TAXI in all media measurement and reporting
   - Make it a requirement that all internal and third-party measurement use TAXI as a primary key.
   - Drive ad delivery and content monetization business model transformation in ways not previously enabled before TAXI.
Executive summary
Immediate next steps for 2013

1. Begin registering video assets with the EIDR or Ad-ID registries:
   a) Establish metadata standards for your organization (Q2 2013).
   b) Develop registration procedures and protocols (Q3 2013).
   c) Automate TAXI registration within your media asset management workflows (Q4 2013).

2. Commit to participation with standards organizations to develop scalable, industry-wide ID-to-asset binding technology specifications:
   a) Identify and assign one or more qualified broadcast engineers to represent your company (Q2 2013).
   b) Provide sufficient time and economic support to these engineers so that they are able to drive outcomes through an industry working group (beginning Q2 2013).


Q2 2013
We are here!

Q3 2013
1. Operationalize EIDR and Ad-ID registration procedures (by December 2013)

Q4 2013
2. Join the TAXI Binding Working Group
3. Specify functional requirements and budget for implementing ID flow-through (during your FY 2014 budget cycle with the intent on launching flow-through initiatives in early 2014)

Introduce TAXI to standards organizations to evaluate more robust ID-to-asset binding technologies for stream-based asset workflows (June 2013)
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What is TAXI?
Trackable Asset Cross-Platform Identification

TAXI is about identifying entertainment and advertising assets across distribution platforms and establishing standards for multi-channel asset tracking.

- Like a UPC for all video programming and advertising assets
- An initiative to accelerate existing video asset identification standards: EIDR and Ad-ID
- Establishes cross-sector protocols for video asset registration, ID flow-through and transaction measurement and reporting
- A foundation layer for many critical content and advertising “applications”
What is TAXI?
Trackable Asset Cross-Platform Identification

**EIDR**
- **Founded by:** MovieLabs, CableLabs, Comcast, Rovi and others.
- **Asset types covered:** Audio-visual assets, which can be both physical and digital video objects, that are part of the movie and television supply chain.*
- **Construct:** A digital object identifier (DOI) based opaque ID designed to be unique for each registered asset.
- **EIDR adoption:** Launched October 2010 and has since developed strong partnership commitments from all six major studios and cable service providers covering 80% of US cable subscribers. The registry is projected to include close to one million film or television titles by the end of 2013.

**Ad-ID**
- **Founded by:** The 4A’s and the ANA.
- **Asset types covered:** Advertising assets (print, video, digital, voice).*
- **Construct:** Specified characters to represent advertiser prefix plus additional characters to represent each unique advertising asset. An additional suffix-digit is used to designate assets in SD or HD quality.
- **Ad-ID adoption:** Approximately one-third (750) of US national advertisers are using Ad-ID.

* While these registry scopes include asset types beyond video, TAXI’s scope has thus far been limited to video distributed through linear, digital and mobile distribution.
Why should TAXI matter to you?
TAXI will enable US$2.5b+ in annual economic value

Increased speed, transparency and accountability in video content and advertising measurement • greater overall spend

- Cross-platform asset identification standards • common language for cross-platform measurement
- Common language for media measurement • cross-platform comparability with increased speed
- Faster cross-platform comparability • higher confidence in value of ad spend
- Higher confidence in value • less fear of wasted spend
- Less waste • greater overall year-over-year spend

Media workflow automation within and between M&E entities • significant operational cost savings

- Cross-platform asset identification standards • common language for media transactions
- Common transactions language • communications protocols between disparate systems
- Standard communications protocols • workflow automation
- Workflow automation • reduction or elimination of redundant, resource-intensive tasks
- Reduction in redundant tasks • year-over-year cost savings

Increased speed, transparency and accountability

US$2b to US$3.5b

Media workflow automation

US$500m to US$1.5b

These “direct” foundational benefits make many M&E industry initiatives easier to deploy

Fewer barriers to deploying cross-platform dynamic ad insertion
Enablement of new anti-piracy tools and methods
More complete long-tail content monetization
Accelerated digital content locker adoption
Improved automated content recognition

Less complex second-screen integration
More granular media ratings
On-the-fly media asset assembly
Reduced asset storage and transmission costs
Simplified and less-costly media reconciliation
Why should TAXI matter to you?
Increased speed, transparency and accountability → greater overall spend

Hypothesis: Difficulties tracking assets across a fragmented web of distribution points constrain growth in an increasingly complex ecosystem.

1. M&E companies repeatedly assert that comparable, cross-platform media performance data would remove a significant barrier to growth in digital ad buying.
   - Brands also spend less than they might otherwise on traditional platforms because they know big dollars are wasted (a la John Wanamaker’s “50%” adage).

2. One indication of how transparency and accountability promote increased trade is IDC’s growth estimates for transparent digital ad marketplaces. IDC asserts that greater ad effectiveness – and therefore campaign efficiency – will drive 71% CAGR in ad spending through open RTB marketplaces.¹
   - Publishers reported nearly 100% median display ad price increases when using more transparent advertising (RTB) trading exchanges vs. opaque ad networks.
   - Agency executives reported a minimum of 20% (and as much as a 150%) improvement in ad effectiveness, which increased campaign efficiency and improved ROI.

How does TAXI address this hypothesis? TAXI establishes a “primary key” for transaction logging and measurement. TAXI makes it both faster and easier to get a complete and accurate measurement picture across disparate data sources.

TAXI simplifies and accelerates data aggregation and analysis, which improves transparency:

A. Marries transaction data from disparate sources across all distribution points
B. Reduces errors previously caused by manually “mapping” disparate names for the same asset

Why should TAXI matter to you?
Increased speed, transparency and accountability → greater overall spend

What are the results of speed, transparency and accountability?

1. **Comparability**: TAXI enables media networks to more easily value equivalent inventory across distribution platforms.
   - Expands premium inventory as spots become exchangeable.
   - Creates the opportunity to establish trade rules for cross-platform make-goods and ADUs.

2. **Confidence**: TAXI reduces gaps in measuring media asset performance.
   - Fewer unknowns = fewer wasted impressions.
   - A dollar spent will produce closer to a dollar of benefit.

**TAXI impact**: $2 billion to $3.5 billion more in annual US video ad spend

Greater overall marketplace spend. Ad spend may initially decline as advertisers pull back from wasted inventory. But as measurement improves, so will ad marketplace innovation, which will create the visibility needed to increase ROI, and thus, overall ad marketplace spend.

On top of $70 billion in US video ad spend, PoC participants projected that existing advertisers will spend more, and a small number of new entrants will spend on the long-tail for the first time when the industry can rapidly provide comparable cross-platform ad transaction metrics.

- 2% to 3% increase in ad volume when fast, comparable metrics make it easier to evaluate ad spend ROI: $1.4 billion to $2.1 billion annually
- 1% to 2% price premium when sellers can demonstrate a higher value attributable to every impression: $700 million to $1.4 billion annually

Video advertising spend

Spend on innovation

Investments in adopting TAXI

M&E sector becomes TAXI Compliant

Period of increased innovation
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Why should TAXI matter to you?
Media workflow automation drives enormous operational efficiency → lower costs

Hypothesis: Core media operations costs will drop when uniquely identified assets and metadata can be automatically passed between systems.

1. Duplicative, manual data entry steps not only add labor costs to the supply chain, but also result in errors that directly impact content monetization.
   - The ANA asserts that “the supply chain is unproductive and messy because much of it is mired in old, analog-based processes. ... The lost productivity in time, rework and errors costs the industry $1 billion to $3 billion annually.” (Bob Liodice, ANA President and Chief Executive Officer)

2. One aspect of this waste is the low-value time and effort associated with mapping and reformattting data from one source to another.
   - One large agency estimates that 200 of its digital media planners spend 50% of their time sourcing, aggregating and reformattting ad transaction data. That equates to roughly US$10 million in digital agency fees spent on these low-value tasks, rather than on higher-value analysis and digital planning activities. Extrapolated across the $70 billion US video advertising marketplace, this one example of waste costs advertisers $124 million annually.

How does TAXI address this hypothesis? TAXI’s open-standard ID enables M&E technology providers to automate workflow between production, asset management, storage, distribution and measurement hardware and software.

- TAXI will enable system-driven automation by creating a layer of data compatibility between production and post-production systems, and downstream asset management and storage, distribution, transmission and measurement technologies. TAXI will create a bridge for the exchange of asset-related information across the supply chain.
  - TAXI enables “key once, use many” when systems automatically transfer descriptive metadata to and from registries, thus reducing cycle time and errors currently associated with duplicative manual data entry.
  - The TAXI-enabled supply chain of the future will include automated aggregation and normalization of transaction data from disparate sources, using EIDR or Ad-ID as the primary keys.
  - Data flow-through within a given entity’s operations and between supply chain participants will be accelerated while error rates will decline.

TAXI impact: Between $500 million and $1.5 billion in annual cost savings

1. TAXI will enable significant cost savings by reducing low-value data manipulation activities. Furthermore, talent redeployment to higher-value data analysis (rather than data mapping) will generate additional economic upside through ad marketplace efficiency and effectiveness.
2. If TAXI were to address even 50% of the ANA’s assertion, its impact on workflow costs would yield between $500 million and $1.5 billion in savings across the M&E supply chain.
Why should TAXI matter to you?
It drives US$2.5 billion+ in annual economic benefits to the M&E supply chain

Estimated TAXI annual economic benefits from industry-wide adoption

US$2.5 billion to US$5 billion
Why should TAXI matter to you?
Because the year-over-year benefits far outweigh one-time adoption costs

TAXI PoC participants collectively believe that broadcast and cable networks (the “media networks”), followed by traditional MVPDs, will need to spend the most to become TAXI Compliant. Costs will primarily be concentrated in NOCs and broadcast centers and in systems that support the commercial operations function.

- Agencies anticipated investments generally in the low six figures each (costs that can be trimmed if coordinated across holding companies and with key vendors, such as MediaOcean, DoubleClick and FreeWheel).
- All the participating media research vendors indicated that embedding EIDRs and Ad-IDs into their solutions was possible, and based on client needs, would be prioritized in the normal upgrade cycle. Costs varied greatly depending on each vendor’s existing capabilities to handle third-party identifiers.

Collectively, and estimated across the industry, one-time adoption costs should not exceed US$500 million.

PoC participants anticipated that the most significant effort and investment will be concentrated in the following activities:

Because of inherent differences between individual M&E companies, these activities may be incomplete and may not occur within the business functions presented. This outline is meant as a planning guide only.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Media networks</th>
<th>Ad agencies</th>
<th>Digital and MVPDs</th>
<th>Media researchers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>▶ Upgrades to sales, traffic and research systems to accommodate TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Changes to creative production tools to enable TAXI embedding and flow-through.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to sales, traffic and research systems to accommodate TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing tracking mechanisms, including watermarks or fingerprints and digital tags to accommodate TAXI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Changes to content prep and asset management tools to enable TAXI embedding and flow-through.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to research and sales systems to accommodate TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Changes to content prep and asset management tools to enable TAXI embedding and flow-through.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing BI solutions to enable reporting on TAXI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Changes to master control and NOC channel chains to enable TAXI flow-through to as-run logging.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing broadcast and digital campaign management solutions to enable trafficking, reporting and billing on TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Changes to master control and NOC channel chains to enable TAXI flow-through and as-run logging.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing BI solutions to enable reporting on TAXI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Modifications to existing first-party digital reporting solutions to enable measurement with TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Modifications to existing first-party digital reporting solutions to enable measurement on TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Modifications to existing first-party digital reporting solutions to enable measurement on TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Operational costs in content prep and comm ops associated with TAXI compliance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing business intelligence solutions to enable reporting on TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing BI solutions to enable reporting on TAXI.</td>
<td>▶ Upgrades to existing BI solutions to enable reporting on TAXI.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>▶ Operational costs in content prep and comm ops associated with TAXI compliance.</td>
<td>▶</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How do we know this will work?
Because 28 M&E entities spent more than two years proving it

October 2010: CIMM launches TAXI.
March 2011: Ernst & Young completes a six-month TAXI feasibility study
- The M&E industry wants TAXI.
- TAXI is conceptually feasible.
- The value of TAXI, relative to costs, needs to be proven.

Summer 2011: Fifteen M&E companies, Ad-ID (ANA and 4A’s) and EIDR (MovieLabs and CableLabs) agree to design a TAXI PoC.

October 2011: CIMM launches PoC design initiative.

April 2012: Ernst & Young vets PoC design with M&E industry. Twenty-eight M&E industry entities sign on to participate:
- 14 media networks, ad agencies and advertisers.
- Three digital distributors and MVPDs.
- Five media research vendors.
- Four M&E technology service providers.
- Ad-ID and EIDR.

April 2013: CIMM and Ernst & Young release the TAXI PoC results and implementation plan:
- There is a US$2.5 billion case for TAXI with widespread support – one that far outweighs an estimated US$500 million in one-time adoption costs across the M&E supply chain.
- Although implementing TAXI will not be simple, the costs and operational challenges are manageable.
- Bottom line: The M&E sector needs TAXI. It is both technically and operationally feasible. The industry is ready to move forward!
How do we know this will work?
TAXI Proof-of-Concept tests and results

**TAXI PoC test goals:** Simulate how TAXI may be adopted within content and advertising supply chains, for the purpose of:

1. Validating that media companies can assign IDs to assets and register those assets through existing registries (EIDR and Ad-ID) with appropriate metadata
2. Demonstrating how media networks and agencies can simulate ID flow-through from asset origination through distribution, consumption and measurement
3. Estimating the value of aggregated cross-platform, asset-centric media measurement data from multiple sources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What we tested</th>
<th>What we demonstrated</th>
<th>What this means</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asset registration</strong></td>
<td>Simple, low-cost: The registration process was straightforward. Most M&amp;E companies anticipated they would incur insignificant costs and effort to register assets, populate metadata and obtain IDs.</td>
<td>Asset registration should be on everyone’s “do now” list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nine media networks and agencies assigned EIDRs and Ad-IDs to 38 distinct video content and advertising assets.</td>
<td>Existing registries work: EIDR and Ad-ID registries can handle multiple video asset classes (e.g., long-form and short-form programs, clips, ads, promos).</td>
<td>EIDR and Ad-ID have made registration simple and cost-efficient. Networks and agencies should implement this standard practice for all new video content and advertising assets.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ID flow-through</strong></td>
<td>As expected, legacy broadcast workflows are the most costly and complex: Technology hurdles required that participants manually shuttle IDs through legacy broadcast infrastructure. ID flow-through in the broadcast TV domain, while possible, will be difficult and costly to implement. ID flow-through for file-based workflows (e.g., digital, VOD) will be much less costly to implement; many networks and agencies are already identifying video files with house IDs.</td>
<td>Focus on file-based workflows until broadcast binding standards are resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Each PoC participant demonstrated how IDs will need to flow within and between internal and third-party workflows.</td>
<td>Technology-based ID-to-asset binding is both feasible and necessary: Several PoC participants demonstrated one possible binding solution that, if adopted, can keep ID flow-through implementation costs to a minimum. This method, which uses the closed-captioning space, has several limitations, but it may suffice for a “v1.0” TAXI rollout.</td>
<td>Start with digital video and VOD ID flow-through. Keeping IDs and assets together within file-based asset workflows is dramatically less challenging (and costly) to implement. Get these operational first.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participants also tested an open-standard technology solution for binding IDs to assets in the broadcast television distribution domain.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Figure out binding for broadcast video before tackling flow-through in this legacy domain. The industry needs to agree-upon a viable, scalable binding technology that will work with today’s legacy broadcast distribution infrastructure. The technology tested in this PoC is certainly a candidate. Establish a working group with the support of organizations such as SMPTE, ATSC, SCTE, NABA, AMWA and CEA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Measurement and reporting</strong></td>
<td>TAXI makes it relatively easy to compare multiple data sources: Minimal effort was required to merge cross-platform asset-centric consumption data from multiple sources representing broadcast TV, VOD and digital distribution platforms.</td>
<td>“Apples-to-apples” cross-platform comparison is just the beginning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Five media research vendors and each of the participating agencies and media networks demonstrated that cross-platform consumption data can be compiled into a single data set from multiple sources.</td>
<td>Ease of comparison dramatically reduces costs, effort and cycle time: Research executives were able to estimate quantifiable data management-related cost reductions and improved time-to-results.</td>
<td>Transparency and accountability will improve media marketplace dynamics. TAXI makes it easier to obtain comparable asset-centric consumption data, helping to create greater marketplace transparency and accountability, which will boost overall spend.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>TAXI will spark a wave of innovation. TAXI will make it easier for the industry to implement “applications” that will further improve the content and advertising marketplace.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
How do we know this will work?
More on the technology-based ID-to-asset binding test

**TAXI binding test goals:** Demonstrate that an existing technology can be used to bind IDs to assets so that they can survive normal distribution through the broadcast supply chain with minimal modifications to existing infrastructures. Binding test steps were:

1. Prepare media by embedding the identifier into the MXF container
2. Encrypt the identifier and “bind” it to closed-captioning space (CC4 was used during the test)
3. Simulate satellite transmission to demonstrate that the identifier will survive distribution to affiliates
4. Simulate over-the-air ATSC transmission to demonstrate that the identifier will survive distribution to consumers

The limited broadcast binding test proved to be successful.

The use of the closed-captioning space represents an inexpensive binding methodology that is available to broadcast and cable networks today. It is a possible broadcast binding solution.

However, there are limitations to this methodology, mainly associated with maintaining the fidelity of the CC message across broadband and mobile devices and through encrypted MVPD transmissions. Further, “reading” the CC message with metering systems that require audio signatures will not be possible.

Thus, while a CC-based solution is viable in many cases, over the longer term, we recommend the industry turn to one or more technical standards organizations – such as SMPTE, AMWA, NABA, CEA, ATSC and/or SCTE – to develop more robust, scalable technical standards that satisfy a wider set of use-cases.

**What we demonstrated:** Closed-captioning (CC) is one possible method to bind EIDRs and Ad-IDs to commercial broadcast video assets.

1. Media identifiers can be embedded into the MXF file container.
2. EIDR and Ad-ID metadata can be inserted into the MXF files in conformance with standards such as AS-03 and AS-12.
3. EIDRs and Ad-IDs can be encrypted and un-encrypted in the closed-captioning space, though further work is needed if this method is to be commercialized.
4. EIDR separation characters may have to be removed to accommodate field limitations, but this will not affect functionality.
5. Closed-captioning data can be preserved through satellite distribution to affiliates.
6. EIDRs and Ad-IDs transported by the closed-captioning method can survive ATSC transmission to an end-user device.
7. The closed-captioning method, in conjunction with ID3 tagging, could work for mobile.
What do you need to do?
Become “TAXI Compliant” in 2013 … and demand compliance from your partners

1. Register all new video assets
   - Begin registering all new content and advertising assets. EIDR and Ad-ID have made registration simple and cost-efficient.
   - Coordinate with vendors to embed registry communications within asset transcoding, management and storage systems.

2. Operationalize asset and ID flow-through
   - Support your organization in driving ratification of ID-to-asset binding technology standards.
   - Operationalize digital and on-demand video asset ID flow-through. File-based workflows will be easier to adapt.
   - Explore technology-enabled methods to embed IDs within streaming broadcast video assets from post-production through distribution, consumption and measurement.

3. Embed TAXI in all media measurement and reporting
   - Make it a requirement that all internal and third-party measurement use TAXI as a primary key.
   - Drive ad delivery and content monetization business model transformation in ways not previously enabled before TAXI.

**Production and post-production**
- Register assets
- Produce assets
- Distribute mezzanine files

**Asset registry**
- Registry
- Recognize assets from playout
- Transcode assets and distribute for playout
- Media storage (playout files)
- Asset IDs, metadata

**Agency and media outlet**
- Asset IDs, metadata
- Playout asset via STB
- Playout asset via CDN
- Digital and mobile
- VOD

**Open standard technologies**
- ID-to-asset binding technology
- Recognize assets from playout
- Asset-to-ID matching
- Aggregate measurement data

**Media measurement**
- Asset-to-ID matching
- Return path data
- Beacons, COOKIES, TAGs
- Panel data

What do you need to do?
Become “TAXI Compliant” in 2013 … and demand compliance from your partners

1. Register all new video assets
   - Begin registering all new content and advertising assets. EIDR and Ad-ID have made registration simple and cost-efficient.
   - Coordinate with vendors to embed registry communications within asset transcoding, management and storage systems.

2. Operationalize asset and ID flow-through
   - Support your organization in driving ratification of ID-to-asset binding technology standards.
   - Operationalize digital and on-demand video asset ID flow-through. File-based workflows will be easier to adapt.
   - Explore technology-enabled methods to embed IDs within streaming broadcast video assets from post-production through distribution, consumption and measurement.

3. Embed TAXI in all media measurement and reporting
   - Make it a requirement that all internal and third-party measurement use TAXI as a primary key.
   - Drive ad delivery and content monetization business model transformation in ways not previously enabled before TAXI.
What do you need to do?
Recommended two-year implementation timeline

CIMM is advocating an assertive timeline that gets “TAXI version 1.0” adopted across the M&E sector before the end of 2014. TAXI PoC participants indicated that such a timeline was feasible with the caveat that certain technical and operational solutions may be sufficient to get entities started, but would need to be hardened and scaled in 2015 and beyond.

### January 2013

- Introduce TAXI to standards organizations to evaluate more robust ID-to-asset binding technologies for stream-based asset workflows (June 2013)
- Specify functional requirements and budget for implementing ID flow-through (during 2014 budget cycle, but not later than December 2013)
- Operationalize EIDR and Ad-ID registration procedures (by December 2013)
- Assign engineers to the Binding Working Group

### January 2014

- Implement ID flow-through for file-based asset workflows (by June 2014)
- Adopt and implement a “v1.0” ID flow-through technology for stream-based asset workflows (by September 2014)
- Integrate TAXI into asset-centric measurement and reporting (by December 2014)

"TAXI Compliant" by December 31, 2014

Participating M&E organizations outlined significant activities within their businesses that will need to be initiated in order to adopt TAXI. Further outlined are several recommended steps designed to drive additional business benefits.

Because of inherent differences between individual M&E companies, these activities may be incomplete and may not occur within the business functions presented. This outline is meant as a planning guide only.

Planning guide legend:
- Required implementation and day-to-day operations activities (in black text, inside the bar)
- Recommended activities (in green, outside the bar) to achieve additional benefits

Affected business functions
# What do you need to do?

What media networks need to do to become “TAXI Compliant”

## Production and post-production

1. Register all new video programming assets with EIDR.
2. Perform periodic QA to validate metadata accuracy in EIDR and Ad-ID registries.

### One-time implementation

- 2. Develop asset registration process with EIDR and Ad-ID registries.
  1. Establish a process to hand off IDs to distribution partners.

### Ongoing operations

- 1. Include Ad-IDs and EIDRs in relevant watermarking, fingerprinting, tagging and captioning processes as required by third-party research and ACR vendors.

---

## Research

1. Build a structure to segregate creative asset types (e.g., full-length programming, clips, promos).

### One-time implementation

- 2. Update ad sales systems to handle EIDR and Ad-ID registration data.
  1. Require that Ad-IDs be included in all insertion orders and trafficking instructions.

### Ongoing operations

- 1. Accept buy-orders and trafficking instructions only for registered ads.

---

## Ad sales

1. Establish automated procedures to prevent assets from being scheduled for playout without an asset identifier and minimally-required metadata

### One-time implementation

- 2. Upgrade insertion systems to handle cross-platform dynamic ad insertion and improved inventory optimization capabilities.

### Ongoing operations

- 2. Establish cross-platform ad inventory optimization procedures.

---

## Commercial ops and digital ad ops

1. Establish automated procedures to handle cross-platform dynamic ad insertion and improved inventory optimization capabilities.

### One-time implementation

- 2. Implement ID and metadata flow-through technologies within the NOC so that EIDR and Ad-ID can be passed through post-production, content management, storage and distribution systems.

### Ongoing operations

- 1. Establish automated transaction logging, aggregation and normalization capabilities.

---

## Media prep, NOC and broadcast engineering

1. Include Ad-IDs and EIDRs in relevant watermarking, fingerprinting, captioning processes as required by third-party research and ACR vendors.

### One-time implementation

- 2. Develop asset registration process with EIDR and Ad-ID registries.
  1. Establish a process to hand off IDs to distribution partners.

### Ongoing operations

- 1. Add and maintain asset IDs within rate tables governing programming and ad deals.

---

## Billing and finance

1. Update trafficing, scheduling and inventory systems to handle EIDR and Ad-ID registration data.

### One-time implementation

- 2. Implement technologies to inextricably bind IDs to assets for broadcast/streaming distribution.

### Ongoing operations

- 1. Accept buy-orders and trafficking instructions only for registered ads.

---

### Key to colors

- **Black text** = Required activities
- **Green text** = Recommended activities
What do you need to do?
What programming distributors need to do to become “TAXI Compliant”

1. Establish mechanism to track asset IDs in existing rights systems.
2. Develop ID exchange technologies to enable ID flow through to distribution partners and third-party media measurement.
3. Coordinate with ad sales and commercial ops to develop occurrence-level playout reporting capabilities for all video playout sources.
4. Implement ID and metadata flow through technologies within the NOC through broadcast operations.
5. Establish content prep process to pass EIDR and Ad-IDs through content management, storage and distribution systems.
6. Implement technologies to inextricably bind IDs to assets for broadcast/streaming distribution.

1. Update distribution logging systems to include TAXIs.
2. Establish automated video ad asset ingest capabilities.
3. Upgrade insertion systems to handle more dynamic ad insertion and optimization capabilities.
4. Implement ID and metadata flow through technologies within the NOC through broadcast operations.
5. Establish ability to produce and provide daily asset-centric, occurrence-level summary reports for VOD.
6. Implement technologies to inextricably bind IDs to assets for broadcast/streaming distribution.

1. Develop ID exchange technologies to enable ID flow through to distribution partners and third-party media measurement.
2. Coordinate with ad sales and commercial ops to develop occurrence-level playout reporting capabilities for all video playout sources.
3. Establish schema for digital video player beacons and tagging.
4. Establish automated video ad asset ingest capabilities.
5. Upgrade insertion systems to handle more dynamic ad insertion and optimization capabilities.
6. Implement ID and metadata flow through technologies within the NOC through broadcast operations.

1. Develop playout log and ratings data import automation capabilities.
2. Update financial systems to handle EIDR and Ad-ID registration data.
3. Integrate financial data into ad-centric and programming-centric operations reporting dashboards.
4. Develop and distribute asset-specific P&L data to improve financial decision making.
5. Add and maintain asset IDs within rate tables governing programming and ad deals.
6. Implement technologies to inextricably bind IDs to assets for broadcast/streaming distribution.

Key to colors
Black text = Required activities
Green text = Recommended activities
What do you need to do?
What advertising agencies need to do to become “TAXI Compliant”

**Production and post-production**
1. Develop asset registration process with the Ad-ID registry.

**Strategic planning**
1. Develop automated dashboards to align asset-centric transaction data with audience-centric ratings data from third parties.

**Media planning and buying**
1. Include Ad-IDs in buy orders and traffic instructions.
1. Upgrade campaign management systems to accommodate Ad-IDs and, as necessary, EIDRs (for inventory avails).

** Trafficking and distribution**
1. Develop automated procedures to prevent assets from being trafficked without an asset identifier and minimally required metadata
2. Develop ID exchange technologies to enable ID flow-through to media distribution vendors, video ad technology partners, networks, publishers and agency partners.
1. Upgrade campaign management systems to accommodate Ad-IDs and, as necessary, EIDRs (for inventory avails).
1. Establish automated procedures to prevent assets from being trafficked without an asset identifier and minimally required metadata.
2. Provide video assets with IDs (and, as needed, private metadata not in the Ad-ID registry) to downstream video ad distribution partners.
1. Develop capabilities to automatically ingest, normalize and present cross-platform playout logs and ratings data.
1. Develop automated buy-order flow-through technologies (agency to media network) for original and amended buys.
1. Develop capabilities to automatically ingest, normalize and present cross-platform playout logs and ratings data.
1. Maintain Ad-IDs within media outlet rate tables.

**Research and analytics**
1. Establish QA procedures to validate the existence and accuracy of IDs in ads trafficked by the agency (e.g., monitor tags, validate flow-through to logs and measurement reports)

**Billing and finance**
1. Add fields for Ad-IDs in media payment and client billing systems.

**Key to colors**
- **Black text** = Required activities
- **Green text** = Recommended activities

---
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What do you need to do?
What media research companies need to do to become “TAXI Compliant”

Product development and engineering

7. Establish process to capture EIDRs and Ad-IDs associated with all daily linear network playout.
6. Establish process to measure MVPD VOD program and ad occurrences using EIDRs and Ad-IDs as keys.
5. Establish process to capture EIDRs and Ad-IDs through digital video player beacons/tags.
4. Build a structure to segregate creative asset types (e.g., full-length programming, clips, promos, ads).
3. Update variables in tags and beacons to capture and read EIDRs and Ad-IDs.
2. Validate TAXI interplay with code/signature receipt, size of payload, latency, compression, etc.
1. Set up queries and data tables to read and pull EIDRs and Ad-IDs from these registries and media networks/distributors.

Data management and analytics

4. Set up process to automatically identify content that has an associated EIDR or Ad-ID.
3. Establish ability to produce and provide daily asset-centric, occurrence-level summary reports for digital (mobile and web) distribution.
2. Establish ability to produce and provide daily asset-centric, occurrence-level summary reports for VOD.
1. Embed EIDRs and Ad-IDs in master data.

Reporting

1. Add EIDR and Ad-ID roll-ups as dimensions in reports, dashboards and other outputs that are provided to clients.

One-time implementation

1. Access EIDR and Ad-Id registration data.
2. Aggregate and normalize data from networks and distributors with asset IDs.

In addition, the EIDR and Ad-ID registries need to prepare for the dramatic increase in asset registrations.

Scaling considerations for these registries include:

1. Sizing hardware and software that will scale sufficiently as demand increases.
2. Implementing or expanding QA procedures.
3. Expanding live customer service and support capabilities.
4. Establishing a network of implementation partners to assist M&E entities in integrating registration with existing media asset management infrastructure.
5. Working with common industry technology vendors to embed APIs and/or create automated software hooks into the registries.
6. Working with industry trade associations to update standard contract template terms and conditions that include registry-appropriate language.

In addition, the registries may wish to engage a qualified auditor to address such functions as de-duplication, data quality and registration security controls.

Key to colors
Black text = Required activities
Green text = Recommended activities
# TAXI Proof-of-Concept participants

## Steering committee members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ABC Television Group</th>
<th>comScore</th>
<th>FreeWheel</th>
<th>Mediabrands</th>
<th>Rentrak</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mark Loughney (research)</td>
<td>Joan FitzGerald (research)</td>
<td>Michael Evangelista (product management)</td>
<td>Janice Finkel-Greene (research)</td>
<td>Bruce Goerlich (research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:mark.loughney@abc.com">mark.loughney@abc.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jfitzgerald@comscore.com">jfitzgerald@comscore.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:mevangelista@freewheel.tv">mevangelista@freewheel.tv</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:janice.finkel-greene@magnaglobal.com">janice.finkel-greene@magnaglobal.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:bdg@renttrakmail.com">bdg@renttrakmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Martin (broadcast operations)</td>
<td>Dave Dickhaut (technical operations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cathy Hetzel (strategy)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:michael.m.martin@disney.com">michael.m.martin@disney.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ddickhaut@comscore.com">ddickhaut@comscore.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:che@renttrakmail.com">che@renttrakmail.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ad-ID</td>
<td>DG FastChannel</td>
<td>GroupM</td>
<td>MediaVest</td>
<td>Starcom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harold Geller (strategy)</td>
<td>George Musi (research)</td>
<td>Ed Gaffney (planning)</td>
<td>Tom Xenos (research)</td>
<td>Jason Shalaveyus (integrated insights)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:hgeller@ad-id.org">hgeller@ad-id.org</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:gmusi@dgit.com">gmusi@dgit.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:ed.gaffney@groupm.com">ed.gaffney@groupm.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:tom.xenos@mediavestww.com">tom.xenos@mediavestww.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:jason.shalaveyus@starcomww.com">jason.shalaveyus@starcomww.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arbitron</td>
<td>EIDR</td>
<td>Hulu</td>
<td>NBC Universal</td>
<td>SMPTE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Edwards (research)</td>
<td>Don Dulchinos (technical operations)</td>
<td>Bryon Schafer (research)</td>
<td>Brad Epperson (research)</td>
<td>Chris Lennon (engineering)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:carol.edwards@arbitron.com">carol.edwards@arbitron.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:d.dulchinos@CableLabs.com">d.dulchinos@CableLabs.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:bryon.schafer@hulu.com">bryon.schafer@hulu.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:bradley.epperson@nbculi.com">bradley.epperson@nbculi.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:cjlennon@comcast.net">cjlennon@comcast.net</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carol Frost (product management)</td>
<td>Kip Welch (strategy)</td>
<td>Richard Nguyen (ad operations)</td>
<td>Glenn Reitmeier (technical operations)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:carol.frost@arbitron.com">carol.frost@arbitron.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:kwelch@movielabs.com">kwelch@movielabs.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:richard.nguyen@hulu.com">richard.nguyen@hulu.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:glenn.reitmeier@nbculi.com">glenn.reitmeier@nbculi.com</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Stavropoulos (technical operations)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:john.stavropoulos@arbitron.com">john.stavropoulos@arbitron.com</a></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Civolution</td>
<td>ESPN</td>
<td>Kantar</td>
<td>Nielsen</td>
<td>Turner (Cartoon Network)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:hans.van.de.ven@civolution.com">hans.van.de.ven@civolution.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:artie.bulgrin@espn.com">artie.bulgrin@espn.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:chuck.raudonis@kantarmedia.com">chuck.raudonis@kantarmedia.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:linda.dupree@nielsen.com">linda.dupree@nielsen.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:joe.wilson@turner.com">joe.wilson@turner.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaap Haltma (technical operations)</td>
<td>Lori LeBas (business operations)</td>
<td>Lori Madeloff (research)</td>
<td>Paul Mears (technical operations)</td>
<td>Keith Chandler (technical operations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:jaap.haltma@civolution.com">jaap.haltma@civolution.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:lori.b.lebas@Disney.ESPN.com">lori.b.lebas@Disney.ESPN.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:lori.madeloff@kantarmedia.com">lori.madeloff@kantarmedia.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:paul.mears@nielsen.com">paul.mears@nielsen.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:keith.chandler@turner.com">keith.chandler@turner.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comcast</td>
<td>Fox</td>
<td>LEGO</td>
<td>NTC</td>
<td>Viacom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walt Michel (technical operations)</td>
<td>Clyde Smith (technical operations)</td>
<td>Michael Overly (marketing)</td>
<td>Eric Pohl (strategy)</td>
<td>Colleen Fahey Rush (research)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><a href="mailto:walt_michel@cable.comcast.com">walt_michel@cable.comcast.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:clyde.smith@fox.com">clyde.smith@fox.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:michael.overly@lego.com">michael.overly@lego.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:eric.pohl@ntc.com">eric.pohl@ntc.com</a></td>
<td><a href="mailto:colleen.faheyrush@mtvstaff.com">colleen.faheyrush@mtvstaff.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Glenn Goldstein (technical operations)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><a href="mailto:glenn.goldstein@mtv.com">glenn.goldstein@mtv.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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